1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7	UNITED STATES D	ISTRICT COURT		
8	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE			
9				
10	MICROSOFT CORPORATION,	CASE NO. C10-1823JLR		
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER		
12	v.			
13	MOTOROLA INC., et al.,			
14	Defendants.			
15	MOTOROLA MOBILITY, INC., et al.,			
16	Plaintiffs,			
17	v.			
18	MICROSOFT CORPORATION,			
19	Defendant.			
20				
21				
22				

1	The court directs the parties to be prepared to address the following issues at the		
2	April 11, 2012 hearing on Microsoft Corporation's ("Microsoft") Motion for a		
3	Temporary Restraining Order ("Microsoft's Motion") (Dkt. # 209):		
4	1. Microsoft is seeking an anti-suit injunction. The court finds that in the		
5	Ninth Circuit, the issuance of an anti-suit injunction, per Microsoft's Motion, is governed		
6	by E. & J. Gallo Winery v. Andina Licores S.A., 446 F.3d 984 (9th Cir. 2006). If		
7	Microsoft or Motorola Inc., Motorola Mobility, Inc., and General Instrument Corporation		
8	(collectively, "Motorola") disagrees that E. & J. Gallo is the proper authority, explain		
9	why and provide the court with alternative authority.		
10	2. Regarding the German action, for which Microsoft seeks an anti-suit		
11	injunction:		
12	a.	What is the present status of the case?	
13	b.	Who are the parties to the litigation?	
14	c.	What overlap exists between the technology covered by the patents at issue in the German Action and the technology covered by the	
15		patents at issue in Cause No. C10-1823JLR, presently before this court.	
16		court.	
17	d.	Are the patents at issue in the German Action subject to an Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers ("IEEE") agreement, an	
18		International Telecommunication Union ("ITU") agreement, or any other agreement, and/or a reasonable and non-discriminatory license	
19		requirement?	
20	e.	What issues are presently before the German court, and are these issues the same issues presently before this court in Cause No. C10-	
21		1823JLR?	
22			

g. What affect would/could the German Action have on the two RAND issues that remain before this court following the court's order on Microsoft's motion for partial summary judgment (Dkt. # 188)? h. Pursuant to the German "Orange Book" procedures, what reasonable steps may Microsoft take to postpone an injunction in Germany? Dated this 10th day of April, 2012. Dated this 10th day of April, 2012. The Honorable James L. Robart U.S. District Court Judge 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 199 200 211	1	f.	What impact would this court's ruling on any issues remaining in		
RAND issues that remain before this court following the court's order on Microsoft's motion for partial summary judgment (Dkt. # 188)? h. Pursuant to the German "Orange Book" procedures, what reasonable steps may Microsoft take to postpone an injunction in Germany? Dated this 10th day of April, 2012. Dated this 10th day of April, 2012. The Honorable James L. Robart U.S. District Court Judge The Honorable James L. Robart U.S. District Court Judge 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	2		Cause No. C-1823JLR have on issues currently pending in the German Action?		
order on Microsoft's motion for partial summary judgment (Dkt. # 188)? h. Pursuant to the German "Orange Book" procedures, what reasonable steps may Microsoft take to postpone an injunction in Germany? Dated this 10th day of April, 2012. Dated this 10th day of April, 2012. The Honorable James L. Robart U.S. District Court Judge 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	3	g.			
h. Pursuant to the German "Orange Book" procedures, what reasonable steps may Microsoft take to postpone an injunction in Germany? Dated this 10th day of April, 2012. The Honorable James L. Robart U.S. District Court Judge 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	4		order on Microsoft's motion for partial summary judgment (Dkt.		
Dated this 10th day of April, 2012. Dated this 10th day of April, 2012. The Honorable James L. Robart U.S. District Court Judge 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	5	h.	Pursuant to the German "Orange Book" procedures, what reasonable		
Dated this 10th day of April, 2012. The Honorable James L. Robart U.S. District Court Judge U.S. District Court Judge	6				
The Honorable James L. Robart U.S. District Court Judge 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	7	Dated this 10	Oth day of April, 2012.		
The Honorable James L. Robart U.S. District Court Judge 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	8				
U.S. District Court Judge	9		1 from K. Klich		
12					
13					
14					
15 16 17 18 19 20 21					
16 17 18 19 20 21					
17 18 19 20 21					
18 19 20 21					
19 20 21					
20 21					
21					